

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,903	11/02/2005	Heinz-Willi Greuel	GREUEL	2327
25889 COLLARD &	7590 09/16/2009 ROE P.C		EXAMINER	
1077 NORTH	ERN BOULEVARD		ADDISU, SARA	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531,903 GREUEL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SARA ADDISU 3724

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after St (8) (MONTH'S from the nating date of this communication.	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or stended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §1333).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustmens. See 3f CFR 174(b).</li> </ul>	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2009.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 43</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7, 43</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No/s VMail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,903

Art Unit: 3724

## DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/26/09 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filled under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filled in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
  - Claims 7 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lavaronia (WO 2009/033951).

LAVARONIA teaches a tool head having a tool holder that is axially and radially adjustable via a first slide surface (23/25) that is in contact with a second slide surface (20a/20b), wherein both the first slide surface and the second slide surface are planar ('951, abstract and figures 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/531,903

Art Unit: 3724

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavaronia (WO 2009/033951), in view of Campbell (USP 6,190,050).

LAVARONIA teaches a tool head having a tool holder that is axially and radially adjustable, as set forth in the above rejection. Regarding claim 5, LAVARONIA teaches it is old and well known in the machining environment to use conical bushing for linear movement toward and away from two parts ('951, page 1, line 21).

However, LAVARONIA fails to teach the slide surface having an inlay.

CAMPBELL teaches replaceable grid pattern of wear-resistant material strips (inlay) being established for a radial bearing or bushing (100) ('050, col. 1, lines 12-16 &col. 5, lines 1-11 and figures 8-9A). Regarding claim 3, CAMPBELL discloses the claimed invention (i.e. an inlay) except for the material of the inlay being metal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose any material for the inlay depending on the strength/hardness desired for the application, because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Art Unit: 3724

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify LAVARONIA such that it included an inlay, as taught by CAMPBELL for the purpose of lengthening the life of the surfaces that are sliding against each other ('050, col. 1, lines 31-43).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Addisu at (571) 272-6082. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sara Addisu/

Examiner, Art Unit 3724

9/13/09

/Boyer D. Ashley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724